

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/578,301	SUEHIRO, NAOKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HIRDEPAL SINGH	2611	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>HIRDEPAL SINGH</u> .	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Robert Y. Rabeia</u> .	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>14 January 2010</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:	1, 6, 7 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>Some informalities in the independent claims discussed and the scope of the dependent claim 12 regarding a data structure and its relevance to a user was discussed. Applicant agreed to cancel claim 12 to resolve any potential issues and also an agreement was reached to fix the informalities by an examiner's amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			